

## General Comments:

People did not see what different uses would look like on this site and if the site plans would change depending on the potential use.

Liked building set back from river to allow more space along river.

Lawn & green space is very desirable.

Parking needs to be addressed on site.

The ultimate building use will have major impact on design.

Appreciate contribution to the Bashara family has brought to Montpelier.

Like buses going into site so the building could be right on Taylor Street.

Several bus lanes on Taylor Street seemed industrial and not desirable.

This site is not a good place for a playground.

Amphitheater was great but concerned about no parking - could be built elsewhere in the City.

Liked the aesthetics of the "Old Mill Building".

A strong connection to the River is important.

Building use is the most important issue and needs to be decided.

Multi-use would be great.

Green design strategies are important.

Residential use is desired. Why not have some retail?

Buses off site make the building more compact.

Passenger cars will be impacted if buses are along Taylor. This is undesirable.

Building back from the river & stepping back the building massing is desired.

Like the buses more on Taylor than bringing on site.

Use: Housing please. Housing Housing Housing!

Building is best when flush with railroad, rather than river. This provides southern-facing place to sit: warmer, better

Parking should be placed under the building.

Green space is best when multi-use path is flush with the river (except with case of the amphitheater)

Amphitheater appears to be contingent upon off site parking, which seems fanciful.

Please provide short-term covered bike parking.

Keep buses closer to the street, rather than running under the building. Many reasons for this.

"Transit Center" should have a greater emphasis on walking & biking than the designs currently reflect: i.e. - more benches/picnic tables, more bike parking, bike fix-it stand (so useful cheap and easy), maximize green space, maximize southern facing space, with heat reflected by putting the building to the north.

Your process was carried out improperly: do all required research before asking for public input; explain why your meetings are structured the way they are then stick to it; our time is a common resource. Clarifying questions in front of the whole group are fine, polemics are not; Be more clear about the experimental nature of your designs. The amount of parking changed in each scheme, while the building mass (and its implied use) were consistent. That was unclear and confusing.; You display potential for dramatic improvement in meeting facilitation.

Really want a smaller building, would like to see a site plan to consider this and compare to a larger building.

Parking discussions should consider a shuttle system.

No Hotel!

Town houses would be nice with parking in back. Mixes of retail, little shops, boutiques should be considered.

There is tons of office space not being utilized in Montpelier already. Don't need more.

Do not want a massive building.

It seems we will need more meetings.

Regardless of whether having the building mass along the river or railroad, a public plaza should be along Taylor St.

No parking on site is desired.

A smaller amphitheater like option #4 seems better than the large one in option #2.

Fishing pier would be nice.

The open spaces should be flexible for a variety of uses.

Maximize people spaces on site.

Run water towards the railroad tracks and then out to river (North Branch.)

A winter time skate rink should be considered.

Many question having any private development on site. Why not just the transit center and a park?