

## SCHEME 1

### Relationship to Taylor and City Streetscape:

#### Strengths

Taylor St. buses seems to be a "Bus Friendly" design.  
Drop off close to the street is a plus.  
Building holding street edge is good.  
Like buses on Taylor and not into the site.  
No parking on site is good.  
Buses staying on street is good.  
Number & frequency of buses not a problem.  
Like the building component of this option.  
Parking works well.  
Playground is good.  
River over look is a plus.  
Restaurant would be a nice addition to the building.  
Setbacks as shown are OK.  
Buses should pull off Taylor completely.  
Entry plaza should be multi-use.  
Flexible café space as part of transit space is desired.  
Connect to R.R. for future linkage.  
No driving under building because it keeps the first floor low.

#### Issues

Bus traffic on Taylor pushes the building back.  
Less green space than some other designs.  
Industrial looking and smelly bus stop is not nice.  
Winter access might be a problem.  
Either bus stop layout seem to work.  
Left turn onto State problematic.  
There is not enough parking to support the building use.  
Parking is an issue  
River safety needs to be thought through.  
Grand plazas have will no one coming from them.  
Green space is more important than a playground.  
Site the building closer to railroad.  
Keep the option to build a rail platform.  
Transit issues with bikes & pedestrian conflicts.  
There seem to be drop off circulation conflicts  
Watch out for elevation changes on site.

### Relationship to Rivers:

#### Strengths

Bike path far from river is a good design.  
Playground is great!  
Plaza on the river is welcoming.  
Not direct access to the river, but views are good.  
Unanimous Building massing toward RR track not river  
Dip down multi-use path, but not too steep though.  
Like playground - could be small - multi-generational.

Lookouts are nice.  
Multi-use path layout is nice.  
Like the portion of building towards river.

#### Issues

Minimize runoff directly in to the river.  
Pollution from parking is an issue.  
Winter conditions are a concern.  
This is the least interesting of the designs.  
Smelly with buses on the street.  
Low Building? Need to study this.  
Want a way to the river.  
  
Concern w/ long term maintenance w/ stairs-weed growth.  
Safety for Children is a concern near the river.  
Amphitheater type steps limits use.  
Mill style building is unappealing.  
  
Need to see the river more.

## Public Placemaking:

### Strengths

The green space along the river is a big improvement.

Playground seems to be a natural in fit with gathering.

Plaza is great!

Café is a good idea.

Fishing pier potential is nice.

Sculpture opportunities are good.

Variable open spaces are good.

Keeping the rest of site open to Taylor for visibility is good. Seems to have bus and plaza conflicts.

Maximizing the people plaza space should be considered. Straight bike path boring.

Variety of access to river both overlooks & direct access work well.

Like pulling the bike path to the north.

Like the playground.

Like the observation deck concept.

Plaza could be very exciting!

### Issues

Less connection to River than some of the other designs.

Not good place for a playground. There are better places.

Too much parking.

Onsite parking - have mixed feelings about this.

Least interesting of the options.

How use hard urban plaza - who manages this space? would like to have more space next to river

## IA - Building Massing and View Shed Impacts

### Strengths

It doesn't block the Pavilion.

Buses on Taylor means shorter bldg.

Less blocking of view sheds.

Split between rail & river massing - but like cutting out the corner.

Want to step back building from the river.

Like the buses along street - am OK if it pushes building back from Taylor.

Like old mill building design approach.

View sheds the same on A&B, no real difference.

### Issues

Some hated the "old mill" look

## IB - Building Massing and View Shed Impacts

### Strengths

Shuttle to remote lots could free up parking downtown.

Retail & housing preferred.

Affordable rental housing is needed.

Housing of any type is needed.

Split between rail & river mass - all like cutting out the corner

Like building on railroad side of the site.

View sheds the same on A&B, no real difference.

### Issues

## General Comments:

Disappointed different uses were not studied.

Like the thought of only doing the transit center - not private development.

The transit center is the primary use.

Concerns with all projects - what is happening on river banks and how stable is it.

Amphitheater - how do you police it? How do you protect any outdoor space?

Transit center & buses - important to accommodate all bus routes.

Would residential work above a bus/transit center?

Preference split between tall & visible or short & long building massing.

If the building is a gateway it should front Taylor street.

No preference whether building is on street or set back.

Who bears cost of site elements?

Whatever is built should allow potential bridge & street to widening.

Need to think about how engage the RR tracks.