

SCHEME 3

Relationship to Taylor and City Streetscape:

Strengths

Like having buses under building because it allows many bus-turning options.

Building following the RR is best.

Like facing Taylor Street with this layout.

This design keeps buses flowing.

Like idea of "old mill building" - no corrugated siding though.

Issues

Limited parking is a problem

The Taylor St. plaza is lost.

I hate the cave condition where busses come under the building.

Relationship to Rivers:

Strengths

ADA Access of river is great.

River access at confluence is very nice.

Like access to river with this design.

Like the access for kids to fish.

This options opens to river nicely.

View of river is good.

Great overlook!

Like relationship to river and confluence access.

Like the confluence design with this option.

Issues

The overlooks distract from natural environment.

Switch back concerns in regards to safety.

We do not need boat access to river.

Public Placemaking:

Strengths

Covered space could be used in winter when buses not there.

Mixed opinions on river access with this design.

Band stand & amphitheater but not with deep excavations.

Gazebo potential is nice.

Parking next to destination is a good thing.

Parking under the building is good.

Lots of interesting little gathering spaces in this option.

Confluence steps are nice.

Issues

Put transit out front, too hidden in this option.

Too much pavement already, should be minimized.

Too much pavement!

Below building parking can be unpleasant.

3A - Building Massing and View Shed Impacts

Strengths

Smaller building allows for more green space.

Issues

3B - Building Massing and View Shed Impacts

Strengths

Issues

The building looks big - do not "smack in the face" with a building at Taylor.

General Comments:

Hotel use - concern about the need for hotel.

Hotel use - need a hotel to attract people.

Too much allowance for parking in these discussions.